

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS



Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi Disciplinary
Peer Reviewed Edition :

www.ijlra.com

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Managing Editor of IJLRA. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of IJLRA.

Though every effort has been made to ensure that the information in Volume 2 Issue 7 is accurate and appropriately cited/referenced, neither the Editorial Board nor IJLRA shall be held liable or responsible in any manner whatsoever for any consequences for any action taken by anyone on the basis of information in the Journal.

Copyright © International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis

IJLRA

EDITORIAL TEAM

EDITORS

Megha Middha



Megha Middha, Assistant Professor of Law in Mody University of Science and Technology, Lakshmanagarh, Sikar

Megha Middha, is working as an Assistant Professor of Law in Mody University of Science and Technology, Lakshmanagarh, Sikar (Rajasthan). She has an experience in the teaching of almost 3 years. She has completed her graduation in BBA LL.B (H) from Amity University, Rajasthan (Gold Medalist) and did her post-graduation (LL.M in Business Laws) from NLSIU, Bengaluru. Currently, she is enrolled in a Ph.D. course in the Department of Law at Mohanlal Sukhadia University, Udaipur (Rajasthan). She wishes to excel in academics and research and contribute as much as she can to society. Through her interactions with the students, she tries to inculcate a sense of deep thinking power in her students and enlighten and guide them to the fact how they can bring a change to the society

Dr. Samrat Datta

Dr. Samrat Datta Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Samrat Datta is currently associated with Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Datta has completed his graduation i.e., B.A.LL.B. from Law College Dehradun, Hemvati Nandan Bahuguna Garhwal University, Srinagar, Uttarakhand. He is an alumnus of KIIT University, Bhubaneswar where he pursued his post-graduation (LL.M.) in Criminal Law and subsequently completed his Ph.D. in Police Law and Information Technology from the Pacific Academy of Higher Education and Research University, Udaipur in 2020. His area of interest and research is Criminal and Police Law. Dr. Datta has a teaching experience of 7 years in various law schools across North India and has held administrative positions like Academic Coordinator, Centre Superintendent for Examinations, Deputy Controller of Examinations, Member of the Proctorial Board



Dr. Namita Jain



Head & Associate Professor

School of Law, JECRC University, Jaipur Ph.D. (Commercial Law) LL.M., UGC - NET Post Graduation Diploma in Taxation law and Practice, Bachelor of Commerce.

Teaching Experience: 12 years, AWARDS AND RECOGNITION of Dr. Namita Jain are - ICF Global Excellence Award 2020 in the category of educationalist by I Can Foundation, India. India Women Empowerment Award in the category of "Emerging Excellence in Academics by Prime Time & Utkrisht Bharat Foundation, New Delhi.(2020). Conferred in FL Book of Top 21 Record Holders in the category of education by Fashion Lifestyle Magazine, New Delhi. (2020). Certificate of Appreciation for organizing and managing the Professional Development Training Program on IPR in Collaboration with Trade Innovations Services, Jaipur on March 14th, 2019

Mrs.S.Kalpana

Assistant professor of Law

Mrs.S.Kalpana, presently Assistant professor of Law, VelTech Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala R & D Institute of Science and Technology, Avadi. Formerly Assistant professor of Law, Vels University in the year 2019 to 2020, Worked as Guest Faculty, Chennai Dr.Ambedkar Law College, Pudupakkam. Published one book. Published 8 Articles in various reputed Law Journals. Conducted 1 Moot court competition and participated in nearly 80 National and International seminars and webinars conducted on various subjects of Law. Did ML in Criminal Law and Criminal Justice Administration. 10 paper presentations in various National and International seminars. Attended more than 10 FDP programs. Ph.D. in Law pursuing.



Avinash Kumar



Avinash Kumar has completed his Ph.D. in International Investment Law from the Dept. of Law & Governance, Central University of South Bihar. His research work is on "International Investment Agreement and State's right to regulate Foreign Investment." He qualified UGC-NET and has been selected for the prestigious ICSSR Doctoral Fellowship. He is an alumnus of the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Formerly he has been elected as Students Union President of Law Centre-1, University of Delhi. Moreover, he completed his LL.M. from the University of Delhi (2014-16), dissertation on "Cross-border Merger & Acquisition"; LL.B. from the University of Delhi (2011-14), and B.A. (Hons.) from Maharaja Agrasen College, University of Delhi. He has also obtained P.G. Diploma in IPR from the Indian Society of International Law, New Delhi. He has qualified UGC - NET examination and has been awarded ICSSR - Doctoral Fellowship. He has published six-plus articles and presented 9 plus papers in national and international seminars/conferences. He participated in several workshops on research methodology and teaching and

learning.

ABOUT US

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS
ISSN

2582-6433 is an Online Journal is Monthly, Peer Review, Academic Journal, Published online, that seeks to provide an interactive platform for the publication of Short Articles, Long Articles, Book Review, Case Comments, Research Papers, Essay in the field of Law & Multidisciplinary issue. Our aim is to upgrade the level of interaction and discourse about contemporary issues of law. We are eager to become a highly cited academic publication, through quality contributions from students, academics, professionals from the industry, the bar and the bench. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS ISSN 2582-6433 welcomes contributions from all legal branches, as long as the work is original, unpublished and is in consonance with the submission guidelines.

DEATH SENTENCE POLICY IN INDIA

AUTHORED BY - GARGI SHARMA,

2nd year law student at

Vivekananda Institute of Professional Studies, Indraprastha University

ABSTRACT

Since antiquated times, we have been tuning in to the passing punishment, on the off chance that somebody commits a heinous wrongdoing, at that point he is given capital discipline. Passing punishment can prevent such wrongdoings from happening. Death punishment is considered to be the greatest discipline in the world, this discipline is ancient in Indian history, but at show, there's a part of talk around the arrangement of nullifying this punishment. The Constitution of India came into constrain in 1950, but some time recently, the passing punishment was effectively given in India due to being beneath British run the show. Embracing all these, there was an arrangement of capital discipline for serious offenses for 5 a long time some time recently the graduation of the structure, but later the discipline for many offenses was changed within the Indian structure keeping in mind the remorselessness of his wrongdoing. But in today's time it isn't so, today the perception has changed, at display there's a conviction that passing punishment could be a crime against humankind and society in itself, God has given us life and no one has the correct to require it, in this way death penalty ought to be announced a wrongdoing against such protected and human right, the government ought to take steps keeping in intellect its negative results and take steps to partitioned the arrangements of passing from the law. It takes more time to articulate the passing sentence, amid which the detainees have to go through mental torment, due to this, the detainees start begging for the passing punishment, this circumstance ought to not be faced by a person. Article 21 of the structure of India ensures to its citizens the proper to life and personal liberty, no citizen can be denied of these rights, guiltless individuals are at more noteworthy hazard of discipline by capital discipline, more of them are poor. Most of the destitute people groups cannot bear the great legal counselor for their defences

Keywords: - Death penalty, Right to life, Article 21, Constitutional interpretations

INTRODUCTION

Our society works concurring to same rules and laws, due to which there's an atmosphere of peace within the society, in arrange to run the society well, laws and structure are made in any country, whoever breaks the law will be rebuffed. Could be a culpable offense the discipline of any offense depends on its seriousness. The sacred legitimacy of passing punishment was to begin with a challenge within the United States of America, which took steps to cancel the passing of punishment. In India, the passing punishment is called capital punishment. Section 53 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, gives for the passing punishment. Section 368 of the Code of Criminal Procedure enables the Supreme Court to grant capital discipline. The passing punishment is given in cases where serious violations are committed, in which the collective soul of the community is so shocked that it expects the legal to donate passing punishment to the culprits. They can be kept within the domain of serious cases. It is right now examined that the passing punishment ought to be supplanted with life detainment. The Supreme Court has more than once held that the long delay in capital discipline is the human impact of causing condemned detainees to endure physical and mental misery amid trust and loss of hope. The passing penalty becomes sympathetic, due to which the prisoner's sentence should be changed to life imprisonment. According to unused information, postponing the trial of passing push convicts makes the passing punishment compelling, other than increasing the travel on the convict and his family. By the conclusion of December 2017, 371 detainees in India were said to be awaiting the passing punishment. We're doing an ancient case of 1991 which is from 27 long time prior, as it were 4 convicts were given passing sentences in 13 a long time. As it were four convicts were given passing sentences in 13 a long time counting one attacker of a minor child and three blameworthy of psychological warfare offenses. As per the 2015 report passing push convicts still could not get sentences due to delay. In the trial in 2018, the Preeminent Court maintained the passing punishment for two of the four indicted assault and kill convicts, and organizations criticized the passing punishment. Many countries have annulled the passing punishment. India has been contradicting the Joined Together Nation's proposition to boycott the death penalty from time to time. Each part state of the Joined together Countries has the proper to determine its legal authority and legitimate discipline. India has got to restrain the passing of punishment within the choice taken by the Supreme Court.

State narratives imply that capital punishment is awarded only in two categories of offences, namely treason and heinous murders. However, the judges , in the offences punishable with

sentence of death and alternatively with life imprisonment, have to crucially choose between the two permissible penal alternatives i.e death penalty and imprisonment for life.

CONSTITUTIONAL COMMENTS

The constitutional validity of death penalty was considered by a Constitutional Bench of the Supreme Court in *Bachan Singh v. State of Punjab*¹ the Supreme Court while upholding the validity of the death penalty expressed the opinion that a real and abiding concern for the dignity of human life postulates resistance to taking a life through laws instrumentality. That ought not to be done save in the rarest of rare cases when the alternative option is unquestionably foreclosed. The aggravating circumstances which justify the award of death penalty have been summed up as follows:

- a) If the murder has been committed after previous planning and involves extreme brutality;
or
- b) If the murder involves exceptional depravity; or
- c) If the murder is of a member of any of the armed forces of the Union or of a member of any police force or of any public servant and was committed –
 - while such member or public servant was on duty; or
 - in consequence of anything done or attempted to be done by such member or public servant in the lawful discharge of his duty as such member or public servant as the case may be, or has ceased to be such member or public servant; or
- d) If the murder is of a person who acted in the lawful discharge of his duty under section 43² of Cr. P. Code, 1973, or who had helped a magistrate or a police officer demanding his aid or requesting his assistance under sections 37³ and 129⁴ of the Code.

However, some of the mitigating circumstances that do not justify an award of death penalty (India Patent No. SC 898, 1980, 1980) may be summed up as follows:

1. where the offence is committed under the influence of extreme mental or emotional disturbance;

¹ AIR 1980 SC 898, 1980

² The word “illegal” is applicable to everything which is an offence or which is prohibited by law, or which furnishes ground for a civil action; and a person is said to be “legally bound to do” whatever it is illegal in him to omit.

³ When an offence is committed by means of several acts, whoever intentionally co-operates in the commission of that offence by doing any one of those acts, either singly or jointly with any other person, commits that offence.

⁴ Whoever, being a public servant and having the custody of any State prisoner or prisoner of war, negligently suffers such prisoner to escape from any place of confinement in which such prisoner is confined, shall be punished with simple imprisonment for a term which may extend to three years, and shall also be liable to fine.

2. where the accused is young or old;
3. the probability that the accused would not commit criminal acts of violence as would constitute a continuing threat to society;
4. the probability that the accused can be reformed and rehabilitated.

But the State shall, by evidence, prove that the accused does not satisfy the condition in clauses (3) and (4) above.

The question as to what are the rarest of the rare cases justifying death penalty lies in the discretion of the judges. Therefore, this rule has led to an inner conflict in the minds of the judges. In *Machhi Singh v. State of Punjab*⁵, the Supreme Court has held that the motive for, or the manner of commission of the crime, or the anti-social or abhorrent nature of the crime are some of the relevant considerations to justify death penalty. These considerations have been summed up as follows –

1. Manner of commission of murder.-When the murder is committed in an extremely brutal or dastardly manner so as to arouse intense and extreme indignation of the community.
For instance :
 - when the house of the victim is set aflame with the end in view to roast him alive in the house;
 - when the victim is subjected to inhuman acts of torture or cruelty in order to bring about his or her death;
 - when the body of the victim is cut into pieces or his body is dismembered in a fiendish manner.
2. Motive for commission of murder. When the murder is committed for a motive which evinces total depravity and meanness. For instance murder committed by hired assassin for sake of money or reward, cold blooded murder with a deliberate design in order to inherit property or a murder in the course for betrayal of the motherland.
3. Anti-social or socially abhorrent nature of the crime.-When murder of a member of a Scheduled Caste or minority community is committed in circumstances which arouse social wrath. For instance, murder committed with view to terrorise and frighten them into fleeing from a place or dowry-deaths and bride burning etc.

⁵ 1983 AIR 957, 1983 SCR (3) 413

4. Magnitude of crime.-When the crime is enormous in proportion. For instance, when murder of almost all members of a family or a large number of persons of a particular caste, community or locality are committed.
5. Personality of victim of murder.-When the victim of murder is an innocent child, a helpless woman or a person rendered helpless by old age or infirmity or a public figure. The above are only illustrative cases indicating a guideline for the application of the principle of rarest of the rare rule.

Any theory of state punishment in a liberal democracy must grapple with the problem of legitimacy. If that system of punishment takes away the right to life itself, the need for a justification becomes all the more pressing. Nothing stops liberal democracies from having a mode of punishment; nothing explains however why that method of punishment has to be a life-life trade-off? The primary justification provided here (which is also the state's argument) is that if I take away someone else's right to life and liberty, then I forego the right to my own life and liberty. But if that's really the case, then how is it that all murderers do not receive the Death penalty? Who decides what lives are worthy of protection and which ones are not? The definition of what is morally impermissible changes with every judge and bench.

Moreover, given that India is a signatory to the International covenant of civil and political rights(1966), and therefore, is committed to phase out the application of death penalty, it is unclear how India has actually continued to use Death Penalty under various pretexts. It is pertinent to note that way back in 1997, India abstained when the commission on human rights of the United Nations passed a resolution, calling for an end to judicial executions in the world. However, India swiftly moved towards re-awarding of death penalty in extreme cases. Death penalty was the mandatory punishment for murder in the initial years after independence. Particular changes in law and shifts in sentencing policy, however, converted it into an exceptional punishment for murder in initial years after independence. Particular changes in law and shifts in sentencing policy, however, converted it into an exceptional punishment by the 1970s.

EMERGENCE OF ALTERNATIVE PUNISHMENT TO CAPITAL PUNISHMENT

In recent years, the Supreme Court imposed a sentence of "real life" andquot; or a certain number of months to life in prison in response to arguments in death penalty cases. This was the conclusion reached by the Supreme Court in a unanimous decision in the Swamy Shraddhanand case. This new type of punishment was justified as follows: adopting even a slightly alternative point of view would lead to new insights. When talking about a sentence, it is important to consider both sides of the conversation. It is also possible that the punishment is too severe or unusually severe. If the appellant has already been sentenced to death, which is confirmed by the Court and the High Court, as in the present case, the Court may find the situation unusually unusual and may be even more reluctant to impose the death sentence. On the other hand, the court may find that a life sentence without the possibility of parole would be unreasonable and grossly unjust given the circumstances of the crime, and may instead impose a sentence of, for example, 14 years. Now the question is, what should the court do? If the court's options are limited to a death sentence and a maximum of 14 years in prison, the court may feel bound and pressured to accept the death sentence. That and the truth; this path leads to disaster. The court and expanded powers, including the wide difference between a fourteen-year sentence and the death penalty, would be much fairer, more reasonable and more appropriate. The court must exercise the additional option because the circumstances show that 14 months imprisonment is not a punishment.

LAW COMMISSION OF INDIA'S REPORT ON DEATH PENALTY

For all crimes save murder and treason, the 262nd report from India's Law Commission advocated abolishing the death penalty (August 2015). The full set of the report's suggestions are as follows:⁶

- The Commission suggested whether or not the government could swiftly implement measures such as police reforms, methods to safeguard witnesses, and offender mitigation plans.

⁶ N.V. Paranjape, Criminology and Penology, (ed. 14th), Central Law Publications, Allahabad, 2010,

- From the Supreme Court's removal of the requirement that the death penalty be exclusively restricted to rare situations in 1973 to the provision of additional justifications again for death punishment, our own jurisprudence demonstrates the direction in which we must proceed. In addition, the Commission believed that the time had come for India to take a step toward emancipation, as evidenced by the broadened and deepened scope and horizons of the Right to Life, and it strengthened the criteria for effective processes in the encounters between the State and the individual.
- While abolishing the death penalty for criminal activities and conflict has frequently been feared to endanger national security, there is now no clear legal reason for prosecuting terrorism apart from other offences. However, the Commission did not think there was any need to delay the first step toward the elimination of the death sentence for all crimes excluding acts of terrorism, despite the worries of the MPs.
- So, the Commission proposes ending slavery as punishment for all wrongdoings other than acts of terrorism and open warfare.
- The Commission, however, is serious about wanting to see a swift and irreversible move more toward the complete eradication.

NEGATIVE COMPONENT OF THE DEATH PENALTY

It is frequently located that we've got defective justice machine and proper to justice because of which the harmless are killed. Reveal this harmless man or woman responsible due to the association of becomes, and proves himself harmless didn't recognize a way to cut. Whose due to which he receives the dying penalty. It is proper to kill a person or to present dying to the crook who has dedicated homicide as punishment. Some events consider that this act of homicide. That's why many nations had been desire of abolishing the dying penalty.

Some events consider that criminals need to accept a 2nd danger to stay and enhance their lives. By giving a danger to the criminals, they may understand their crook incident and by staying in jail, they could repent in their crook incident.

*Ediga Anamma v. the State of Andhra Pradesh*⁷. The Supreme Court laid down the precept that life imprisonment for the offence of homicide is the guideline of thumb and capital sentence is

⁷ AIR 1974 SCR (3) 329

the exception in positive cases. The courtroom docket additionally said that a unique purpose ought to accept if the courtroom docket makes a decision to impose a dying sentence.

Machhi Singh and Others v. the State of Punjab⁸ A feud among the households took away seventeen lives. The Supreme Court defined the mitigating and nerve-racking elements and additionally gave the circumstances associated with the imposition of the reputable punishment. In the nerve-racking factor, homicide ought to be preplanned with extreme brutality or murdering a public servant on or off the duty. And in the mitigating factor, the court considers the circumstances of the offender along with the circumstances of the crime.

The Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 - The courts are given the electricity to sentence the punishment for the loss of life penalty. In the subsequent headings, some insights into the maximum horrifying loss of life row instances had been mentioned.

Vinay Sharma v. the Union of India⁹ In this situation additionally famously referred to as the Nirbhaya gang -rape case, had a taken aback the moral sense of the complete country. The unlucky and brutal incident passed off withinside the chilled climate of Delhi on a bus. The woman became brutally raped through six accused which additionally brought about the loss of life of the woman. An iron rod became additionally inserted into her personal components and he or she became thrown bare to the road. All the bodily and intellectual torture brought about her loss of life. When the case became added earlier than the courtroom docket, one of the accused devoted suicide in prison and one of the accused became a juvenile so he became now no longer sentenced to loss of life. But the different 4 accused have been sentenced to loss of life and have been . additionally hanged withinside the 12 months 2020. This judgment became concluded after analysing the irritating elements outweighed the mitigating elements if any. The loss of life sentence became imposed due to the fact existence imprisonment regarded insufficient thinking about the applicable situations of the crime and the inhuman torture devoted at the sufferer which added approximately her loss of life.

Shabnam v. Union of India¹⁰ The courtroom docket presented a loss of life sentence to the girl and the identical became hanged for the primary time withinside the Indian Criminal Justice

⁸ AIR 1983 SCR (3) 413

⁹ Writ Petition (CRL.) No.65 Of 2020

¹⁰ Writ Petition (Criminal) No.88 Of 2015

system. In this situation Shabnam together along with her lover killed the participants of her own circle of relatives. This incident took place within the 12 months 2008. Shabnam killed her own circle of relatives participants due to the fact they weren't permitting her to marry her lover. Therefore she deliberate a brutal homicide for her own circle of relatives which amounted to be the irritating factor. She didn't even go away her younger and additionally submitted mercy to the president which were given rejected and he or she is normally to be hanged through subsequent 12 months.

Hyderabad veterinarian case¹¹ In this situation the girl health practitioner became all by myself within the scooter which she parked to the Shamdabad plaza and from in which she took the taxi to the workplace in which she became going to paintings. In the in the meantime 4 accused have been tracking her and which led them to puncture her scooter in her absence. When she became again from her paintings she noticed that her scooter became punctured. And within the in the meantime ,these 4 accused got here and stared forcing her and raping, burning her body . The case became clean reduce case for awarding loss of life sentence, however right here the police encountered the accused main to questions on our Indian Criminal System.

Manoj and Others v. State of Madhya Pradesh¹² In this situation the best courtroom docket issued recommendations of loss of life penalty to gather mitigating situations of the accused on the trial degree the trial courtroom docket have to elicit statistics from the accused and the nation -the nation have to for an offence sporting capital punishment on the suitable degree produce fabric that is ideally amassed beforehand , earlier than the classes courtroom docket disclosing psychiatric and mental assessment of accused.

CONCLUSION

Capital punishment is one of the most controversial issues in Indian society. It has been since ancient times India is one of them. India has death penalty given only on rare occasions, but what is the exact meaning of the phrase "rare occasions" generated much debate. The ultimate goal of punishment everywhere is to reduce crime and to impose some punishment in the name of justice. India follows the same phenomenon but as an Indian Constitution, it violates the provisions of Article 21 regarding the right to life and human dignity. constitutionally valid. This

¹¹ <https://blog.ipleaders.in/>

¹² 2022 Live Law (SC) 510

article provides an overview of the death penalty in India. That also explains its history and various cases involving the death penalty. The study showed that all judgments were announced for heinous crimes while keeping the general public who will ultimately decide on the punishment for the rarest of rare cases is fair and just. The death penalty has always been a controversial social and moral issue in the world. Capital punishment is the process of murdering someone accused of a heinous crime. Justice, it is not only a punishment but more than that, it is according to the principle of human rights, immoral and implies a lack of respect for human life. Even when someone resists death punishment, it does not mean that he supports the criminal. According to the Constitution of India or any other countries have the death penalty and the same constitution contains provisions on the right to life and also human dignity. The United Nations supports the abolition of the death penalty and India is an active member. The death penalty is still in our UN law book. This is because in today's world, the conditions and circumstances of the crime are brutal, some of which are the Delhi gang-rape case and Hyderabad gang-rape cases, which are known as the most brutal cases today because this society demands justice which must be as serious as the crime committed. That is why the death penalty is still used in India. But we must understand even though we have the death penalty for such terrible things, crime still doesn't help eliminate crime, but crime is increasing rapidly. But as far as capital punishment is concerned, this crime should also be punished in India. Legislation and the judicial system should therefore think like this when implementing the law, we must eliminate crimes, not criminals.

REFERENCE

- Prof. Kumar Narender, The constitutional law of India (Allahabad Law Agency 10th edition Reprint 2019).
- K.D. Gaur Textbook on Indian Penal Code 1860 (Lexis Nexis 7th edition 2020).
- Pillai's PSA, Criminal Law (Lexis Nexis 13th edition, 2017)
- <https://www.livelaw.in>
- <https://www.hindikiduniya.com/essay/essay-on-is-death-penalty-effective-in-hindi>
- <https://hindi.ipleader.in/significant-analysis-of-death-penalty-in-india/>
- www.google.com
- www.thehindu.com
- www.legalservicesindia.com
- <https://Indiakanoon.org>

- <https://Indiakanoon.org>
- Indian folks, pros and cons of capital punishment, retrieved 9th january,2020 available at <https://www.indianfolk.com/pros-cons-capital-punishment/>
- Law commission of india, 35th report, 1967, at para 293, retrieved 8th january, 2020 available at <http://lawcommissionofindia.nic.in/1-50/report35vol1and3.pdf>
- M. Swathi, k. Roja, “a critical study on capital punishment in india”, international journal of pure and applied mathematics, volume 120 no. 5 2018, 911-922, issn: 1314-3395 (on-line version), para 1, pg. 912, 5th january, 2020 available at <https://acadpubl.eu/hub/2018-120-5/1/98.pdf>
- The execution of pbs, “the history of death penalty”, <https://www.pbs.org/wgbh/frontline/article/history-of-the-death-penalty/>, 5th january, 2020
- Janhavi Arakeri, Capital Punishment in India, May 27, 2019, <https://blog.ipleaders.in/capital-punishment-in-india/> 6 548 U.S. 163 (2006)
- Bachan Singh v. State of Punjab, AIR 1980 SC 898, 1980 CriLJ 636, 1982 (1) SCALE 713, (1980) 2 SCC 684, 1983 1 SCR 145.
- Jagmohan Singh v. State of U.P, 1973 AIR 947, 1973 SCR (2) 541.
- Constituent Assembly Debates, 3 june, 1949, Part II, retrieved from <http://parliamentofindia.nic.in/Is/debatesvol8p15.htm> on 24.08.2015 at 2:00 pm.
- Law Commission of India, 35th report, 1967, at para 12, retrieved from <http://lawcommissionofindia.nic.in/1-50/report35volIIand3.pdf> on 11.12.15 at 20:30 pm
- Amnesty international, Death Sentences and Executions in 2014, ACT 50/001/2015.
- Bachchan Singh v. State of Punjab, AIR 1980 SC 898; Machhi Singh v. State of Punjab, (1983) 3 SCC 470